This appendix sets out in detail the following items:

- Describes stage 1 of the consultation process (informal consultation) and in further detail stage 2. (The ETMO process)
- Consultation publicity
- Consultation methodology
- Analysis of the responses received per scheme
- Analysis of all 32 themes

Stage 1 - 4-week informal public consultation: the purpose of the 4-week informal consultation exercise was to seek the views of the relevant stakeholders particularly schools on the proposed schemes under ETMOs. This further provides council officers an opportunity to amend the schemes in response to feedback received at the end of the 4-week informal public consultation period to better the schemes before they are recommended for implementation under ETMOs as this report does. The informal public consultation stage has been split into two, the first being consultation with internal stakeholders within the council and the second being an external public consultation. Both methods have been explained in further detail below:

<u>Internal consultation</u> – officers will share the proposals and seek feedback from the following before the consultation is made public:

- The Cabinet Member for Streets & Environment
- Local Ward councillors
- Director of Education
- Highways and Parking Service Teams
- Transport Strategy Service
- Communications & Engagement Team
- Head Teachers of affected schools

External consultation – amendments following feedback at the internal stage will be made and the final public consultation leaflets will be published and shared with the following:

- Local Member's of Parliament
- The Cabinet Member for Streets & Environment
- Local Ward councillors
- Director of Education
- Highways and Parking Service Teams
- Transport Strategy Service
- Communications & Engagement Team
- External partners such as Transport for London, Trams & Buses
- All affected school, the staff and parents
- All affected residents and businesses located within 250m of the school street
- Local groups and associations located within 250m of the school street
- Places of worship located within 250m of the school street
- Emergency Services
- On all council digital platforms

Through council press release and newsletter

<u>Consultation method</u>: The council delivered a consultation leaflet per HSS explaining the schemes in detail to all residents, businesses and organisations located within 250metres from the extents of the proposed school street. The consultation leaflets sent out for all 11 proposed Healthy School Street schemes have been included with this report and can be viewed by clicking the relevant links above.

250metre consultation boundary:

To fully understand and appreciate the consultation analysis as presented in this report, it is important to note the consultation boundary, its definition and categorisation.

- 250metre boundary: the council has chosen to consult properties that fall within 250metres of the extents of the proposed healthy school street scheme by sending them a consultation leaflet. This is consistent with the method use previously when consulting on the existing 24 school streets.
- The distance of 250 metres is measured as road length from the various entry points to any one scheme.
- For analysis purposes those properties that fall within 250metres from the extents of the school street proposal that would have received a consultation leaflet are categorised as responses from properties "Within the area". Those responses received from persons beyond the 250metre consultation boundary are categorised as responses from "Outside the area", this could be responses from those live, work, study anywhere in Croydon and beyond it is an undefined area as anyone who feel that they may be impacted by the proposals can respond.

Analysis of responses from those **within** the consultation boundary and those from **outside** is important because depending on how close they live, work or study to the proposals, the impacts you feel or perceive could vary, therefore the feedback given will be different.

For example, those who live, work or study beyond the 250metre consultation boundary may have a greater reliance on the use of a private motor vehicle to pick up and drop off their children to the school and will see the proposal as being restrictive to their movements, whereas those who live within the 250metre boundary may find it easier to walk, cycle or scoot because they are closer, so will be less affected by the proposal.

Furthermore, those within the 250metre boundary are likely to live, work, study closer to the school so may be more appreciative of the issues experienced by residents at school drop off/pick up times.

Consultation publicity:

The consultation launched on 1 July 2022 and expired at midnight on 30 July 2022. It

was published on all digital platforms in tandem with announcements made about the consultation through a council press release and newsletter. The response rate is based on the number of leaflets delivered to those within the consultation area (250metre boundary) and responses received from the same only. In total 7,491 leaflets were delivered, and 531 responses were received, representing a response rate of 7%, the average response rate from consultations in Croydon is around 10%. In line with good practice the council installed street notices in the vicinity of the school.

The council has an active internal communications and engagement team that made announcements and publications in relation to the consultation via various means these have been detailed below with links to the relevant publications:

- 1) Your Croydon: <u>https://news.croydon.gov.uk/croydon-proposes-11-new-healthy-school-street-schemes-consultation-launches-today/</u>
- 2) I Love Croydon Facebook page
- 3) I Love Croydon Instagram page
- 4) I Love Croydon Twitter Account:
 - https://mobile.twitter.com/yourcroydon/status/1547651390935166981
- 5) The council has a dedicated Healthy School Streets website (www.croydon.gov.uk/school-streets), where the consultation material was published along with background information on the councils Healthy School Street programme
- A dedicated Healthy School Streets email was set-up for enquiries only relating to the consultations – healthyschoolstreets@croydon.gov.uk

Stage 2 implementation of schemes under ETMO (statutory consultation): this stage will only apply to those 9 schemes being taken forward for implementation if the recommendation made to the Executive Mayor of Croydon is agreed. The 9 schemes will be implemented under ETMO's for a maximum period of 18months of which the first 6 months is a period of 'statutory consultation' during which anyone affected by the experimental scheme can write in objection.

All the objections received during that 6-month statutory period are considered along with the council's own assessment on how the scheme has performed before taking a decision to either remove the scheme in its entirety or make the scheme permanent after the 18month period. The reasons for implementing schemes under ETMO's are as follows:

- Enables an extended consultation period (6 months)
- Enables those affected to appreciate the true impact of the schemes and submit objections in real time.
- Enables the council to monitor the schemes and its impacts on air pollution and traffic.
- Enables the council to make amendments to the schemes in response to objections received during the first 6 months of the 18-month ETMO period.
- Enables decision makers to review experimental feedback and data before a decision is made to retain or remove schemes.

Analysis of informal public consultation: the council created an online consultation

survey form for each scheme, published on the council's "Get Involved" website. An opportunity for those that do not have access to the internet or who required the consultation material in another format did exist and

where able to submit their response in writing. The benefit of using an online survey platform for response submission was that it made submitting a response easier, convenient for users and allowed officers to monitor and analyse responses received in real time using the tool available on the online platform.

The surveys had the ability to provide the user with an automatic acknowledgement of their response being received along with a link with useful information. Each response has been assigned a consultation ID number generated by the 'Get Involved' online platform, full analysis of the consultation responses received has been appended to this report and key findings of the said consultation have been summarised in the report.

The surveys were designed by colleagues in the council's Communications & Engagement team, based on their knowledge and expertise of similar surveys carried out by the council. The surveys were relatively short and simple and asked the following 6 questions:

- Please provide your address including post code this question was set as a mandatory response for officers to determine if the person responding falls from within the 250m consultation boundary or outside it, as explained above.
- 2) Please provide your full name
- 3) Please provide your email address this was requested in the event officers would like to contact the respondent about their response and/or to keep them informed about the outcome of the consultation.
- 4) How strongly do you agree or disagree with the council's proposal to introduce this Healthy School Street? A scale of Strongly Agree, Agree, Strongly Disagree, Disagree and No Opinion. This was used to determine the strength of support or opposition to any given scheme.
- 5) Please explain your answer including any positive or negative impacts you feel the scheme will have on you.
- 6) If you have any other ideas for how we can make the streets outside our schools safer and healthier please tell us below.

Questions 5 & 6 above were used largely to review any suggestions made and formed the basis for officer's assessments on the 9 schemes recommended for implementation, but with proposed amendments to 1 because of the feedback given.

Officers have categorised the responses as follows:

- Within the 250-metre consultation boundary
- Outside the 250-metre consultation boundary
- Merged "Strongly Agree" and "Agree" as responses in support of the proposals
- Merged "Strongly Disagree" and "Disagree" as responses opposed to the proposals
- "No opinion"

 Analysis of top 4 themes only – this will be the most common feedback given by most respondents.

The responses given have been placed into 32 different themes, analysis of the top 4 theme shows the following:

- Theme 1: the proposal will cause congestion and poor driver behaviour on side roads adjacent to the zone.
 Total number of respondents who said the above: 294
 Total number of respondents from within the area: 219
 Total number of respondents from outside the area: 75
- Theme 2: the proposal will cause access difficulty for working parents, residents, and visitors.
 Total number of respondents who said the above: 267
 Total number of respondents from within the area: 123
 Total number of respondents from outside the area: 144
- Theme 3: the proposal will make it safer to go to school Total number of respondents who said the above: 172 Total number of respondents from within the area: 103 Total number of respondents from outside the area: 69
- Theme 4: the proposal will require better enforcement of existing restrictions Total number of respondents who said the above: 114 Total number of respondents from within the area: 66 Total number of respondents from outside the area: 48

Tables 1 -6 below show break down of the results in detail on a scheme-by-scheme basis. The comments received have been categorised into 32 themes as given below.

Appendix F

		JW 51	RUNG				OR DISAG						PUSAL	5 10 1011	KODU	UE .	No.	No.	%age
				AC	GREE						DIS	AGREE					Poste	. Retu Cons	of Co Fror
HEALTHY SCHOOL STREET		ongly Iree	Ag	ree	TOTAL	Within Area	Outside Area	Stro Disa	ongly igree	Disa	gree	TOTAL	Within Area	Outside Area	N Opi	lo nion	No. Posted To Consultation Area	Returned From Within Consultation Area	%age of Consultation Returned From Within Area
	No.	%	No.	%				No.	%	No.	%				No.	%	ion	lin	urned
he Crescent – Crescent Primary School	27	26	15	15	42	25	17	43	42	17	17	60	23	37	1		1306	48	4
	5	28	1	6	6	3	3										884	13	1
Cumberlow Avenue – Harris Academy South Iorwood								9	50	3	17	12	10	2			004	13	•
irchanger Road – South Norwood Primary	23	21	10	9	33	16	17	62	55	17	15	79	56	23	-		1417	72	5
school																			
pringfield Road - St Cyprians Greek hthodox Primary	19	29	6	8	25	19	6	39	49	15	19	54	46	8			643	65	10
Pering Place - Howard Primary School TBC	22	43	3	6	25	9	16	21	41	5	10	26	14	12	_		854	23	3
troud Green Way, Oasis Shirley Park Primary, Stroud Green	17	41	2	5	19	15	4	15	37	7	17	22	18	4			485	33	7
Dunley Drive, Good Shepherd Catholic rimary & Nursery School	25	35	5	7	30	16	14	34	47	7	10	41	12	29	1	2	450	28	6
lew Barn Lane, Kenley Primary	16	18	17	20	33	27	6	40	46	14	16	54	35	19	-		307	62	20
Gonville Road, Gonville Academy	33	44	13	17	46	31	15	19	25	10	13	29	13	16	-		429	44	10
tanhope Road, Park Hill Infant School	44	37	14	12	58	31	27	48	40	12	10	60	38	22	1	1	451	69	15
	20	15	15	11	35	20	15										1119	74	7

				1	C	OMMENT	S ANALYS	SIS					
HEALTHY SCHOOL STREET		in stre	congestion eet / side ds (1)	change	e will not e travel iour (2)	shou	it area Ild be sed (3)	to use th	Z permits e School et (4)	proposal	w your s/restricti nes (5)	deliverie	acts s/traders 6)
		Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area
	AGREE COMMENTS	4	4		1					2	1	1	2
The Crescent – Crescent Primary School	DISAGREE COMMENTS	10	11	1						2	2	3	1
	TOTAL		29	:	2	(0	(0		7		7
Cumberlow Avenue – Harris Academy South Norwood	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	3	1									1	
	TOTAL		4		0		0	(0		D		1
	AGREE COMMENTS	2	1	1									1
Birchanger Road – South Norwood Primary School	DISAGREE COMMENTS	36	17	3	3	8				2	1	1	2
	TOTAL	:	56		7	1	8	(0	:	3		4
	AGREE COMMENTS	6											
Springfield Road - St Cyprians Greek	DISAGREE	33	7	5		1				3	1	5	
Orthodox Primary	COMMENTS		46		5		1	(0		4		5
	AGREE COMMENTS											2	
Dering Place - Howard Primary School TBC	DISAGREE	7	3		1	1		3		4		4	2
	TOTAL		10		1		1	:	3		4	;	8
	AGREE COMMENTS	6				1				5		1	
Stroud Green Way, Oasis Shirley Park Primary, Stroud Green	DISAGREE COMMENTS	7	1	2			1			4	1	3	1
	TOTAL		14	:	2	:	2	(0	1	0		5
	AGREE COMMENTS	3					1			3			
Dunley Drive, Good Shepherd Catholic	DISAGREE	7	5		1	1	1			1		1	6
Primary & Nursery School	COMMENTS		15		1		2)		4		7
			10		·			`	• 		•		
		9	2									1	
New Barn Lane, Kenley Primary	DISAGREE COMMENTS	24	7	7	1					3		6	1
	TOTAL		42		8		0	()		3		8
	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE	1								3			
Gonville Road, Gonville Academy	COMMENTS	6	4 11	3	1 4		0)	2	1 6	1	2 3
							-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		-		
	AGREE COMMENTS	4	2	2		1		1			1	2	
Stanhope Road, Park Hill Infant School	COMMENTS	28	1	3	_	1	_			1	1	2	
	TOTAL		35		5	:	2	-	1		3		4
	AGREE COMMENTS	2	3	1		1					2		
Krishna Avanti - Southbridge Place	DISAGREE COMMENTS	21	6	1				1		2		21	1
	TOTAL		32		2		1		1		4	2	2
		219	75	29	8	15	2	5	0	37	11	55	19
		2	294	3	37	1	7		5	4	8	7	'4

HEALTHY SCHOOL STREET			safer to chool (7)	seating	trees / g in area 8)	addition calming	COMMEN sider nal traffic g in area 9)	NTS ANALYSIS Provide health benefits (10)		benef	vironmenta its from me (11)	disadvan	vironmental tages from ne (12)
		Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area
The Crescent – Crescent Primary School	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	10	4 2	2	3	3 2	2	3	1	6	1	1	
	TOTAL	1	16		5		7		4		7		1
Cumberlow Avenue – Harris Academy South Norwood	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS TOTAL	2	2	1	1		0		0	2	2		0
							-		-				-
Birchanger Road – South Norwood Primary School	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	5	8	2 2	2 1	1 4	1 1	1	1	3	3	1	2
	TOTAL	1	13		7		7		2		6		3
Springfield Road - St Cyprians Greek Orthodox Primary	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	10	3 1			1	1	1		4			
	TOTAL	1	14		0		2		1		4		0
Dering Place - Howard Primary School TBC	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	5	6	1				2	1	2	2		
	TOTAL	1	1		1		0		3		4		0
Stroud Green Way, Oasis Shirley Park Primary, Stroud Green	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	8	2		1			2		1	1	1	1
Thinkiy, Stold Green	TOTAL	1	1		1		0		2		2		2
Dunley Drive, Good Shepherd Catholic	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE	6	8						1	1	1		
Primary & Nursery School	COMMENTS	2	16		0		1 1	1	2		2		0
New Barn Lane, Kenley Primary	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	14	5			2 3	1		2	3	1		
	TOTAL	1	9		0		6		2		4		0
Gonville Road, Gonville Academy	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	15	7					3	1	4		1	1
	TOTAL	2	22		0		0		4		4		2
	AGREE COMMENTS	17	14					4	6	7	7		
Stanhope Road, Park Hill Infant School	DISAGREE COMMENTS	1		1		2				1		2	
	TOTAL	3	32		1	:	2	1	10		15		2
Krishna Avanti - Southbridge Place	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	7	9			11	16	3	1	4 1	2	3	
	TOTAL	1	16		0	2	27		4		7		3
		103	69	9	7	29	23	20	14	39	18	9	4
		1	72	1	16	5	52	3	34		57		13

							С	OMMEN	IS ANALY	(SIS					
HEALTHY SCHOOL STREET		practice	parking es in area 13)		l scheme nt (14)	obviou marke	strictions s/clearly d/more ge (15)	disblae	Exemption for disblaed people (16)		e affects ging point cted road 17)			Scheme will provide no additional safe benefits (19)	
		Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	provide no additional safety benefits (19)	
The Crescent – Crescent Primary School	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS			1	1	1	2 1		1			4	1 4		
	TOTAL		0		2		4		1		0		9		1
Cumberlow Avenue – Harris Academy South Norwood	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS TOTAL	-	0		1 1	1 2	3		0		0		0		0
	TOTAL														<u> </u>
Birchanger Road – South Norwood Primary School	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	-	1	1		1 3	2 4	1	1	1 2	1	1 10	6		
	TOTAL		1		2		10		2		4	1	17		1
Springfield Road - St Cyprians Greek Orthodox Primary	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	-		3 1		2						1 12	3		
	TOTAL		0		4		2		0		0	1	16		0
Dering Place - Howard Primary School TBC	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS TOTAL	-	•				1		•			1	1		
	IOTAL		0		0		1		0		0		2		2
Stroud Green Way, Oasis Shirley Park Primary, Stroud Green	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	-		1		1						1		1	
	TOTAL		0		1		1		0		0		1		1
Dunley Drive, Good Shepherd Catholic Primary & Nursery School	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS TOTAL	-	0	4	7		0		0		0	1	2		0
	AGREE COMMENTS			3	1		2						2		<u> </u>
New Barn Lane, Kenley Primary	DISAGREE COMMENTS TOTAL		0	8	12	4	6		0		0	5	1 6		0
Gonville Road, Gonville Academy	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	-		1	1									1	
	TOTAL		0		2		0		0		0		0		2
Stanhope Road, Park Hill Infant School	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS	-	0	12 18	4	2	1		0	1	2	2 7	1		
	TOTAL		0	;	35		3		0		2	1	10		0
Krishna Avanti - Southbridge Place	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE COMMENTS			1	1	1 4	2 18					5	1		
	TOTAL	0	0	58	2 10	22	2 5 33	1	0	5	0	51	6 18	7	0
		Ŭ	-	50	10	~~		-	~	,	-	51	10	'	

Appendix F

								OMMENT	S ANALY	SIS					
HEALTHY SCHOOL STREET		entry' / ' etc a alterna	der 'no 'one way' as an ative to sal (20)	Cash C	ow (21)	Scheme Unnecessary (22)		Spend the money somewhere else (23)		Scheme creates unsafe surrounding roads (24)		Difficulty for working parents/residents/vi sitors (25)		Some schools will be less attractive (26)	
		Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area
	AGREE COMMENTS	6	6							1		3	1	1	
The Crescent – Crescent Primary School	DISAGREE COMMENTS	5	10	4	3	4	4			2	4	9	26		
	TOTAL	2	27		7		8		0		7		39		1
Cumberlow Avenue – Harris Academy South	AGREE COMMENTS	-				1									
Norwood	COMMENTS					4	1					2			
	TOTAL		0		0		6		0		0		2		0
	AGREE COMMENTS														
Birchanger Road – South Norwood Primary	DISAGREE		4	5	8	8		1		7	4	19	9		1
School	COMMENTS		4		3		8		1		11		28		1
		. <u> </u>													
	AGREE COMMENTS	3	2			1									
Springfield Road - St Cyprians Greek Orthodox Primary	DISAGREE COMMENTS	1	1	4	3	6		1		4	2	24	5		
	TOTAL		7		7		7		1		6		29		0
	AGREE COMMENTS														
Dering Place - Howard Primary School TBC	DISAGREE			2	4		4					2	6		
	COMMENTS		•	3	1		1		•		•	2	6		•
	TOTAL		0		4		1		0		0		8		0
	AGREE COMMENTS	1													
Stroud Green Way, Oasis Shirley Park Primary, Stroud Green	DISAGREE COMMENTS			1	1	4	1	2			1	5	1		
	TOTAL		1	:	2		5	:	2		1		6		0
Dunley Drive, Good Shepherd Catholic	AGREE COMMENTS	2	1							2			2		
Primary & Nursery School	DISAGREE COMMENTS			1	2	2	7			2	4	7	21		
	TOTAL		3	:	3		9		0		8		30		0
	AGREE COMMENTS		1								1	5	1		_
New Barn Lane, Kenley Primary	DISAGREE	-		4	2	14	3	1		9	3	12	10		
	COMMENTS		1		6		17		1		13		28		0
															-
	AGREE COMMENTS									2		2			
Gonville Road, Gonville Academy	DISAGREE COMMENTS			1	2	1	3	1		1	1		5		
	TOTAL		0	:	3		4		1		4		7		0
	AGREE COMMENTS	4				4				4		2	0		
Stanhone Road, Bark Hill Infant Sahaal	DISAGREE	1	6	2	2	1	2			1		3	3	1	
Stanhope Road, Park Hill Infant School	COMMENTS	8	6 1 5	2	3 5	3	3 7		0	14	15	12	21 39	1	1
	IUIAL	1	J		J		,		v	1	13		JJ		1
	AGREE COMMENTS		1								3		3		
Krishna Avanti - Southbridge Place	DISAGREE		1	2	2	6	1			9	1	18	30		3
	TOTAL		2		4		7		0	1	13		51		3
		27	33	27	27	55	24	6	0	54	24	123	144	2	4
	11		50	_	i4	.	'9		6	-	78		267		6
							5		-			<u> </u>			•

						с	S ANALY	SIS					
HEALTHY SCHOOL STREET			NG signs 27)	Surro	Impacts Surrounding Schools (28)		Better enforcement of existing restrictions (29)		Waste of Money (30)		Loss of income due to inacessible driveway by visitors (31)		Problems 32)
		Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area	Within Area	Outside Area
	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE					1	2						
The Crescent – Crescent Primary School	COMMENTS		0		0	4	7 4		0				0
						I				I			
	AGREE COMMENTS						1						
Cumberlow Avenue – Harris Academy South Norwood	DISAGREE COMMENTS												
	TOTAL		0		0		1		0				0
													_
	AGREE COMMENTS					1	4						
Birchanger Road – South Norwood Primary School	DISAGREE COMMENTS		1	1		9	3	1	2			2	2
	TOTAL		1		1	1	7	;	3		0		4
Christiand Dood St Curriana Crook	AGREE COMMENTS					6	2						
Springfield Road - St Cyprians Greek Orthodox Primary	DISAGREE COMMENTS				1	6	2						
	TOTAL		0		1	1	6		0		0		0
	AGREE COMMENTS				_	1	1						
Dering Place - Howard Primary School TBC	DISAGREE					1	1			1			
	COMMENTS		0		0		4		0		4		0
	TOTAL		U		U		4		U		1		0
	AGREE COMMENTS					2	1						
Stroud Green Way, Oasis Shirley Park	DISAGREE												
Primary, Stroud Green	COMMENTS		0		0		3		0		0		0
			-		-		-		-		-		-
	AGREE COMMENTS					1							
Dunley Drive, Good Shepherd Catholic Primary & Nursery School	DISAGREE COMMENTS						3						
Filling & Nulsely School	TOTAL		0		0		4		0		0		0
		L		L		I		L		I		L	
	AGREE COMMENTS					3	2						
New Barn Lane, Kenley Primary	DISAGREE COMMENTS					9	4						
	TOTAL		0		0	1	8		0		0		0
	AGREE COMMENTS					7	1						
Gonville Road, Gonville Academy	DISAGREE COMMENTS					3	1						
	TOTAL		0		0	1	2		0		0		0
					_	•	•						_
	AGREE COMMENTS DISAGREE	1				3	8						
Stanhope Road, Park Hill Infant School	COMMENTS					5	4						
	TOTAL		1		0	2	20		0		0		0
	AGREE COMMENTS					1	1						
Krishna Avanti - Southbridge Place	DISAGREE					3	1						
nanonna Avanu - Souundhuye Place	COMMENTS		0		0		5		0		0		0
	TOTAL												0
		1	1	1	1	66	48	1	2	1	0	2	2

	2	2	114	3	1	4
--	---	---	-----	---	---	---